Application No. Applicant(s) 10/814,336 GREAVES ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit Eisa B. Elhilo 1751 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Eisa B. Elhilo. (2) Thalia V. Warnemen Date of Interview: 21 June 2007. Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c)⊠ Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: Identification of prior art discussed: Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. hSubstance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The applicant's attorney suggested that the claims would be amended in order to avoid the teaching of the reference. The examiner would further search the claims in the light of the incoming amendment. No agreement has been reached at this time.. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required